Locative Prepositions as Modifiers of an Unpronounced Noun

Arhonto Terzi
Technological Educational Institute of Patras

1. Background and some first facts about Greek locatives

Locative P(reposition)s are puzzling for accounts that seek to understand whether Ps constitute a homogenous class of elements (van Riemsdijk 1990, 1998), and, most crucially, for those views which consider all Ps to be functional elements. We shed light on these issues by investigating the properties of Greek locative Ps in the beginning, and end up proposing that, by and large, locatives are the modifiers of an empty noun, *Place*, part of a DP which is the complement of a functional head, $P_{Loc.}$

Greek locatives may be encountered in what are known as Complex Preposition structures, (1). In this syntactic frame locatives are followed by a light P, *se* or *apo*, which introduces their complements with accusative case.[1] Note that *se* is always contracted on the definite determiner.

(1)   Kathisa *dipla   apo/s' ti   Maria*.
        sat-1s   behind *apo/se* the-acc Mary-acc
        'I sat behind Mary.'

The same locatives may also be followed directly by their complements, appearing in the form of a clitic with genitive case. The corresponding full DPs are not possible in this environment.

(2)   Kathisa *piso   tis*/*tis Marias.
        sat-1s   behind she-cl-gen/the-gen Mary-gen
        'I sat behind her/Mary.'

There is both diachronic and synchronic evidence that the case of the clitic in (2) is genitive rather than the homophonous dative of Modern Greek (Terzi 2005). Genitive is associated exclusively with nominals in the contemporary language, nevertheless, the locatives we are investigating do not derive historically from nouns, (Skopeteas 2002), neither do they carry nominal (or other type of) inflection.

One may consider as a third frame, the frame in which locatives are encountered without a complement. It is because of this frame that they are referred to as intransitive Ps and even as adverbials by traditional Greek grammarians (Tzartzanos 1945/2002).

2. Similarities between locative Ps and nominals in Greek

The genitive case of the clitics that follow locatives, (2), offers some first indication for a nominal structure. Further evidence for a nominal structure in which Greek locatives participate is provided when one compares locatives with adjectives that modify nouns.
a. Notice that while a (genitive/possessor) clitic is possible postadjectivally in the nominal domain, a full DP is not, (3a). (3b) demonstrates that a clitic, but not a full DP, may follow the locative.

(3)   a.   To kenurio tu/*tu Niku   aftokinito (tu/tu Niku)
               the new   his-cl-gen/the-gen Nick-gen car
               'His/Nick's new car.'

---

[1] See Anagnostopoulou 2005 for other environments in which these two Ps are encountered.

b.   Epano tu/*tu Niku.
           on   he-cl-gen/the-gen Nick-gen
           'On him/Nick.'

b. Full DPs could follow both adjectives and locatives, but ceased to be able to during similar periods, i.e., from the 12th to the 15th century, in both domains (see Alexiadou 2005, Manolessou 2000 and Theophanopoulou-Kontou 2000 respectively).

(4)   To proton tis tragodias meros.
         the first   the-gen tragedy-gen part
         'The first part of the tragedy.'   (Aristophanes, *Frogs* 1120)
(5)   estratopedefsanto ekso tis poleos …
         camped-3p   outside the-gen city-gen
         'They camped outside the city.'   (Xenophon, *Hellenica* 5.2.25)

c. The clitic that follows the adjective in nominals, (6a), is associated with animacy restrictions (Alexiadou & Stavrou 2000), also manifested by the clitic following locatives, (7a):

(6)   a.   O trelos tu odigos   b.   O trelos odigos tu
              the crazy his driver   the crazy driver his
              'His crazy driver.'   'His/its crazy driver.'
              (i.e., the crazy driver of Mr. X)   (i.e., the crazy driver of Mr.X or of the bus)
(7)   a.   *I Eleni perimene   brosta apo tin eklisia$_i$ ke i Maria mesa tis$_i$.
              the Eleni was-waiting in-front *apo* the church and the Mary   inside she-cl-gen
         b.   I Eleni perimene   brosta apo tin eklisia$_i$ ke i Maria   mesa se afti$_i$.
              the Eleni was-waiting in-front *apo* the church and the Maria inside *se* she-pron.-acc[2]

    I believe that we can make sense of the above behavior and facts if we take locatives to also participate in a nominal structure. More precisely, I will consider the locative in (2), for instance, to be the modifier of a phonetically null noun, which I call *Place*, and which has a null determiner. *Place* amounts to a DP which denotes the physical space surrounding what appears as the Ground argument of the locative.

(8)   [$_{DP}$ ø [$_{XP}$ piso   tu   [$_X$ [$_{NP}$ *Place*   [$_{DP}$ ~~tu~~]]]]]
                 behind   he-cl-gen   he-cl-gen

I consider the (genitive) clitic complement of the locative to be the possessor of *Place*. Just like in the nominal domain, (6), there are two positions for possessive clitics: a) a post-*Place* one (corresponding to the postnominal position in ordinary DPs) and b) a post-locative one (corresponding to the postadjectival position). In Botwinik-Rotem & Terzi (2008) we propose that these two positions are not associated via movement. The postnominal is the default one, while the postadjectival becomes available only in the presence an adjective/modifier, and the clitic demonstrates animacy restrictions.
    The proposed structure in (8) is not an instance of *substantivization* (Chierchia 1998, Giannakidou & Stavrou 1999), (9), although locatives may also be encountered in such contexts, (10):

(9)   a.   I plusii [0] xsexnun apo pu   ksekinisan.
              the rich   forget-3p from where started-3p
              'The rich forget where they started from.'
         b.   Tin Maria tin elkii to agnosto [0]
              the Mary   her attract-3s the unknown [0]
              'Mary is attracted to the unknown.'   (from Giannakidou & Stavrou 1999)

---

[2] See Botwinik-Rotem & Terzi (2008) for an account of some exceptions to the animacy restrictions demonstrated by clitics following locatives.

(10)   I   piso [0] kanoun   thorivo.
         the behind   make-3p noise
         'The people behind make noise.'

Examples such as in (10) are not discussed by Giannakidou & Stavrou (1999), but resemble (9) in that what precedes [0] in (9) or the locative in (10) cannot be modified by a (degree) adverb:

(11)   a.   I (*poli) plusii [0] ksexnun   apo pu   ksekinisan.
                the (very) rich   forget-3p from where started-3p
                'The (very) rich forget where they started from.'
         b.   Tin Maria tin elkii   to (*poli) agnosto [0]
                the Mary her attract-3s the (very) unknown [0]
                'Mary is attracted to the (very) unknown.'
(12)   I   (*poli) piso   [0] kanoun   thorivo.
         the (very) behind   make-3p noise
         'The people (very) behind make noise.'

The obvious question therefore is whether the locative in (2), is an instance of substantivization. If it were, we would expect it to be subject to similar modification restrictions as (12), but it is not, (13):

(13)   Kathisa (poli) piso   tis.
         sat-1s   very behind she-cl-gen.
         'I sat very behind her.

The contrast between (12) and (13) suggests that we deal with different structures in (10) and in (2). While (10)=(12) is an instance of substantivization, (2)=(13) is not. Moreover, the modification of the locative by an adverb in (13) is consistent with the idea that *piso* 'behind' is similar in distribution to adjectives, hence, it modifies a non-phonologically realized noun, as (8) essentially proposes.

2.1 The prepositional aspect of locatives

   Notice, however, that although Greek locatives are modified by *poli* 'very', (13), they may also be modified by *akrivos* 'right', (14), which is not a modifier of adjectives, but of Ps (see Svenonius 2004b).

(14)   Kathisa akrivos piso   tis.
         sat-1s   right   behind she-cl-gen.
         'I sat right behind her.'

I attribute the ability of locatives to be modified by 'right' to the fact that the nominal structure in which they participate, i.e., (8), is the complement of an (empty) locative head, P_{Loc}, as below:

(15)   [$_{PPLoc}$ [$_{PLoc}$ ø [$_{DP}$ ø [$_{XP}$ piso   tu   [$_X$ [$_{NP}$ *Place* [$_{DP}$ ~~tu~~]]]]]]]
                                            behind he-cl-gen   he-cl-gen

Further support for (15) is offered by the fact that an adverb such as *diametrika* 'diametrically', which modifies adjectives, follows rather than precedes *akrivos* 'precisely', (16). If *akrivos* modified P_{Loc} and *diametrika* modified the locative (which modifies *Place*) the former should indeed precede the latter, as demonstrated by (16).

(16)   a.   Kathotan   akrivos diametrika   piso mu.
                was-sitting right   diametrically behind me
         b.   ??Kathotan diametrika akrivos piso mu.
                was-sitting diametrically right behind me

To sum up, (15) essentially proposes that there is both a functional and a lexical component to the structure of Greek locatives. The functional component is contributed by the functional head P_{Loc},

which takes the DP containing *Place* as its complement. On the other hand, the lexical aspect of locatives is contributed by the (non-phonologically realized) noun *Place*.

3. The structure of Complex Prepositions

In the Complex Preposition frame, (1), the complement of the locative is introduced by the light Ps *se* or *apo*, and it is a full DP which carries accusative case. The structure I advocate for Complex Prepositions is as in (17) below:

(17)   … [$_{PPLoc}$ [$_{PLoc}$ [$_{DP}$ ø [$_{XP}$ dipla   [$_{NP}$ *Place* [$_{PP}$ apo/se   [$_{DP}$ ti Maria]]]]]]]
                                          beside   *apo/se*   the-acc Mary-acc

As we argue in detail in Botwinik-Rotem & Terzi (2008) *se* and *apo* are responsible for checking the accusative case of the DP complements of locatives in the syntax (by contrast to the genitive case of the clitic, which we take to be licensed at PF). The lack of semantic input of *se* and *apo* in most contexts of Complex Prepositions supports their role in licensing/checking accusative case.

3.1 (Even) more Complex Prepositions

Notice that the light P *se* can also be used alone in order to denote location:

(18)   To vivlio ine sto   trapezi.
              the book is *se*-the-acc table-acc
              'The book is on the table.'

There is, however, a difference in interpretation between (18) and (19) below (also present in Spanish and English, as we will see). When location is expressed by *se* alone, (18), it is less precise/literal than when expressed by a Complex Preposition that contains *se*, (19). While, for instance, the book could be in one of the drawers of the table in (18), this cannot be the case in (19).

(19)   To vivlio ine epano sto   trapezi.
              the book is   on   *se*-the-acc table-acc
              'The book is on the table.'

See also the examples below for another pair in which location is expressed by *se* (20), or by a complex Preposition that contains it, (21).

(20)   To aeroplano ine ston   aera.
              the plane   is *se*-the-acc air-acc
              'The plane is in the air.'
(21)   *To aeroplano ine mesa ston   aera.
              the plane   is   in   *se*-the-acc air-acc
              'The plane is inside the air.'

I take the structure of (18) and (20) to be as in (22) below, with *se* to occupy P$_{Loc}$. The crucial difference between (17) and (22) is that *Place* is not modified in the latter structure.

(22)   … [$_{PPLoc}$ [$_{PLoc}$ se [$_{DP}$ ø [$_{NP}$ *Place* [$_{DP}$ to   trapezi]]]]]
                              se   the-acc table-acc

Hence, a desirable consequence of (22) is that it captures the observation that location is expressed in a more precise manner via a Complex Preposition, than by *se* alone: the interpretation of location is less precise in (18) and (20) because *Place* is not modified. On the other hand, (21) is ungrammatical because modification of *Place* is not compatible with a Ground argument such as 'air'.

4. Spanish *substantives* are also the modifiers of *Place*

Spanish locatives share a number of properties with their Greek counterparts. Just like in Greek, they are always followed by a light P, *de*, which is followed by their complement DP.

(23)   La facultad está cerca de un edificio gris.
         the school is   close *de* a building grey
         'The school is close to a grey building.'

Like Greek locatives, Spanish locatives are grammatical without a complement, (24b), as a consequence of which they are also often referred to as intransitive Ps (or adverbs):[3]

(24)   a.   Conoces a alguien que viva cerca de la Universidad?
                Do you know someone who lives near the university?
         b.   Sí, conozco a alguien que vive cerca.
                Yes, I know someone who lives near.   (from Campos 1991)

Campos (1991), following Plann (1985), calls the locatives of (23) *substantives* and notices that they can be stranded after wh-movement of the *de*-PP, (25). Such extractions are also well-formed in Greek.

(25)   a.   *De qué edificio*$_i$ está cerca t$_i$ la facultad?
                'What building is the school near (to)?'
         b.   La pastelería *de la cual*$_i$ vivo detrás t$_i$ es buenisima
                'The pastry shop behind which I live is excellent.'

Campos concludes that extractions such as in (25) are related to some [+N] feature of substantive Ps, or else, that they are similar to wh-extraction of complements of nouns (rather than being instances of the Germanic Preposition stranding). Thus, he essentially adopts Plann's (1985) diagnostics, according to which Spanish locatives have properties of both, nouns and adjectives, hence, share the categorial feature [+N], and for this reason they are 'neutralized categories'. For Plann (1985) substantives are like nouns because they can be the objects of prepositions, (26), and can be modified by possessive adjectives, (27).

(26)   El gato me espiaba [$_P$ desde] [$_{SP}$ debajo de la mesa]
         'The cat was spying on me from under the table.'
(27)   Venía un hombre detrás mío.
         was-coming a man behind mine
         'A man was coming behind me.'

On the other hand, they are also like adjectives because they can be modified by adverbs, (28), (even when modified by possessive adjectives, (29)), and may occur with the quantifier *muy* 'very', (30).

(28)   No estamos exactamente cerca de resolver el problema.
         'We are not exactly close to solving the problem.'
(29)   Venía un camion justamente detrás nuestro.
         'A truck was coming exactly behind us.'
(30)   En realidad no nives muy cerca.
         'In reality you don't live very near.'

I believe that the facts in (26) are not sufficient to render locatives similar to nouns however. Unless one is able to argue that the complement of *desde* in (26) is a bare noun, we can safely assume that it is a full DP. If so, then *debajo* does not have to be the head noun of this DP but can occupy any other of a

---

[3] Spanish substantives are referred to either as adverbs (Bello (1847), or as complex prepositions when used transitively and adverbs when used intransitively (Ramsey 1956). A more complete list of Spanish substantives includes: *alrededor* 'around', *dentro* 'inside', *fuera* 'outside', *enfrente* 'in front', *delante* 'in front', *encima* 'on'.

number of positions in the DP structure, one of them being the modifier of the empty *Place* proposed on the basis of the Greek. As for (27)=(31a), it seems entirely plausible to consider it the counterpart of the Greek frame in which the locative is followed by the possessive clitic, (31b).

(31)   a.   Venía un hombre detrás mío.
        b.   Erxotan   enas andras piso mu.
                was-coming a man   behind mine
                'A man was coming behind me.'

Thus, the claims for the noun status of Spanish locative *substantives* have no good reasons to be maintained. On the other hand, their adjectival status offers crosslinguistic support to the idea that they are the modifiers of *Place*, as in (32), (leaving aside the reverse order of adjective/noun in Spanish):

(32)   … [$_{PPLoc}$ [$_{PLoc}$ [$_{DP}$ ø [$_{XP}$ detrás [$_{NP}$ *Place* [$_{PP}$ de [$_{DP}$ una pasteleria]]]]]]]
                             behind   *de*   a pastry shop

Note, finally, that the interpretation of substantives differs from that of simple locative Ps, just like in the Greek pairs earlier: (33a) has a precise interpretation which is missing from (33b). We attribute this interpretation associated with substantives to the fact that *Place* is modified. By contrast, *en* in (33a) occupies the P$_{Loc}$ of (32) and *Place* is not modified.

(33)   a.   El libro está encima de la mesa.
                the book is   on   de the table
        b.   El libro está en la mesa.
                the book is on the table

The advantages of proposing (32) for Spanish locatives are several: we dispense with the obscure notion 'neutralized categories', we do not employ (+N) categorial features, in the spirit of Chomsky (2001), and we are in a position to explain contrasts in interpretation such as between (33a) and (33b)

5. English locatives also modify an empty *Place*

English locatives seem different from Greek and Spanish at first glance. They are not often referred to as adverbs, neither have they been associated with nominal behavior in any obvious manner.[4] It is therefore interesting that a small set of locative elements has recently been associated with an empty noun in English. Kayne (2004) proposes that 'here' and 'there' are demonstratives that modify an empty noun, PLACE, with an empty determiner. This empty noun may even be overt in some dialects of English:

(34)   This here/that there place.

The Greek counterparts of 'here' and 'there' resemble English in this respect, with the difference that the overt manifestation of *Place* is widely accepted:

(35)   Afto edo/ekino eki to meros.
        this here/that there the place
        'This here/that there place.'

The Greek locatives we have been discussing are also compatible with an overt *Place*.

(36)   To kato/brosta   meros.
        the under/in-front place

---

[4] With the exception of Bresnan (1994) who studies English locatives (and temporals) in subject and object position and associates their presence in such positions with the fact that they are the complements of empty Place (and time) nouns, i.e.,: [$_{NP}$ (A PLACE) [$_{PP}$ under the bed]] is a good place to hide.

Thus, the facts in (36) offer further support to my initial proposal concerning the presence of *Place* in Greek locatives. Presumably the phrases in (36) are not the complements of P$_{Loc}$, as is confirmed by the fact that they cannot be modified by *akrivos* 'right/precisely', (37) (while they can be modified by *poli* 'very', (38)).

(37)   To (*akrivos)   kato/brosta meros.
        the precisely   under/in-front place
(38)   To (poli) kato/brosta meros.
        the very under/in-front place

The counterparts of (36) are ungrammatical in English.

(39)   a.   *This/the under place.
        b.   *This/the in front place.

Postulating an unpronounced element, however, does not necessarily presuppose the existence its overt counterpart, see Kayne (2005) for this relationship crosslinguistically. Nevertheless, we notice that, even in English, 'front' may modify an overt place-like noun.

(40)   The (very) front part/side.

Notice that 'in front' can also be used intransitively, as in (41) below (from Svenonius 2004a).

(41)   I saw a line of soldiers.   The one in front (of it) was talking on the telephone.

Furthermore, a number of other English locatives can be used intransitively, (42), also from Svenonius (2004a):

(42)   a.   As the group approached the final summit, Espen stayed behind
                  (them).
        b.   There was a box in the table. Inside (it) was fine Swiss chocolate.
        c.   We stood on a bridge. Below (it) we could see barges laden with port wine.
        d.   Nils looked over the snowdrift. The frozen fjord beyond (it) was
                  dotted with seals.

Moreover, comparing the above locatives with those involving only a 'light' P, we notice again a precise interpretation in the former, (43a), which is missing from the latter, (43b), (cf. (20) vs. (21)).

(43)   a.   *The plane is inside the air.
        b.   The plane is in the air.

The above make me suggest that 'in front' also has a structure such as that proposed for Greek and Spanish in (17) and (32) respectively, namely, the structure below:

(44)   … [$_{PPLoc}$ [P$_{Loc}$ in [$_{DP}$ ø [$_{XP}$ front [$_{NP}$ *Place* [$_{PP}$ of [$_{DP}$ Mary]]]]]]]

Hence, in English as well, the P$_{Loc}$ functional head, now hosting 'in', takes a DP complement with *Place* as its head noun, and 'front' modifies this noun. I believe that similar considerations hold for the structure of the locative Ps in (42) as well.

5.1 The different pieces of English complex prepositions

Although the similarities between Greek and English locatives pointed out in the previous section may support an analysis of English locatives on a par with that of their Greek counterparts, there are a number of differences as well (and see also Terzi (2006) for an additional puzzle posed by English, with respect to the morphological case of the pronominal complements of locatives):

a. By contrast to 'in front (of)', the P that arguably occupies P$_{Loc}$ in instances such as 'inside' or 'behind' forms a compound with the modifier of *Place*. I will consider this compounding to be the result of some post-syntactic process that does not bear on my claims in any crucial manner.

b. While the second part of locatives such as 'below' is an adjective, this is not the case with 'behind', 'inside', 'beneath/underneath' (but also 'in front'), in which it is a noun. One could, therefore, argue that, at least in these instances, locatives are not the modifiers of *Place* but the phonological instantiations of it. There are empirical and conceptual reasons not to adhere to this view however:

1. Although there are a number of English locatives whose second part is a noun rather than an adjective, there are also others of which the second part is clearly an adjective, i.e., 'be-low'.

2. Nouns such as 'side', can function as modifiers of other nouns, moreover, even of nouns other than *Place*, i.e., 'side dish'. This is much more common with nouns such as 'front' or 'back', i.e., 'front/back door', etc. Furthermore, nouns may modify other nouns in English; modification is not an exclusive or defining property of adjectives (Baker 2003: 195). Interestingly, Liu (2006) proposes that Mandarin locatives also modify an unpronounced noun, but they are nominal rather than adjectival.

3. It is unrestrictive to hold that a locative is sometimes the modifier of *Place* and sometimes the instantiation of it within the same language, but also   crosslinguistically (Kayne 2005). More specifically, it goes against Kayne's (2005: 289) claim according to which: *UG imposes a maximum of one interpretable syntactic feature per lexical or functional element.*[5]

6. Conclusions

In this work I investigated the status of locative Ps by focusing on their internal structure and, in particular, on the relationship they bear with their complements. On the basis of Greek initially, I proposed that what we think of as locative Ps are elements that modify an unpronounced noun, *Place*. This noun denotes the physical space surrounding the reference landmark (i.e., what is considered the Ground argument of the locative). These claims shed light on the widely common, but often vague, view that locatives implicate some type of nominal crosslinguistically, as a result of which they pose problems for theories that seek to adopt a functional status for all Ps (Grimshaw 1991, Botwinik-Rotem (2004).

I also claimed that the unpronounced noun *Place* that is modified by locatives is the complement of a P$_{Loc}$ functional head, a claim which provides (partial) empirical support to the above theories. Furthermore, I showed that P$_{Loc}$ can also host overt elements and, if a modifier of *Place* is absent, we then obtain imprecise denotation of location. As for the DP complement of locatives, I hold that it is the possessor of the non-phonologically realized noun *Place*. With respect to this issue, English instigated the search for an alternative analysis, according to which some type of partitive (rather than a possessive) relation holds between locatives and their complements, but no such relationship could be established (see Terzi 2006 for details).

Finally, I demonstrated that the approach to locative Ps developed here on the basis of Greek is able to extend to Spanish and English (and presumably to other languages as well) with potential differences across and within languages, such as whether P$_{Loc}$ is overt or not, whether the modifier of *Place* is present, and whether it is adjectival or nominal

References

Alexiadou, A. 2005. 'A possessive adjective in the Greek DP.', in M. Stavrou & A. Terzi (eds.), *Advances in Greek Generative Syntax*, John Benjamins, Amsterdam, 127-151.

Alexiadou, A. & M. Stavrou. 2000. 'Adjective-Clitic Combinations in the Greek DP.', in B. Gerlach & J. Grijzenhout (eds.), *Clitics in Phonology, Morphology and Syntax,* John Benjamins, Amsterdam, 63-84.

---

[5] Nevertheless, in a detailed study of the various types of the English noun 'home', Collins (2006) considers the *–side* of 'inside' or the *–neath* of 'underneath' to be the overt instantiations of a 'light' noun, counterpart of the unpronounced noun *Place*.

Anagnostopoulou, E. 2005. 'Cross-linguistic and cross-categorial variation of datives.', in M. Stavrou & A. Terzi (eds.), *Advances in Greek Generative Syntax*, John Benjamins, Amsterdam, 61-126.

Baker, M. 2003. *Lexical Categories*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Bello, A. 1847. *Gramática de la lengua castellana*. 8th ed., Editorial Sopena, Buenos Aires, (1970).

Botwinik-Rotem, I. 2004. *The Category P. Features, Projections, Interpretation*, Ph.D. dissertation, University of Tel-Aviv.

Botwinik-Rotem, I. & A. Terzi. 2008. 'The Structure of Greek and Hebrew Prepositional Phrases: a unified Case driven account.' *Lingua* 118: 399-424

Bresnan, J. 1994. 'Locative Inversion and the Architecture of Universal Grammar.', *Language* 70: 72-131.

Campos, H. 1991. 'Preposition Stranding in Spanish?' *Linguistic Inquiry* 22: 741-750.

Chierchia, G. 1998. 'Reference to kinds across languages.' *Natural Language Semantics* 6: 339-405.

Chomsky, N. 2001. 'Derivation by Phase', in M. Kenstowicz (ed.), *Ken Hale: A Life in Language*, MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1-52.

Collins, C. 2004. 'The absence of a linker in double object constructions in Nǀuu.'*Studies in African Linguistics* 33: 163-198.

Collins, C. 2006. 'Home Sweet Home', Ms., New York University.

den Dikken, M. 2003. 'On the syntax of locative and directional adpositional phrases.', Ms., CUNY Graduate Center.

Giannakidou, A. & M. Stavrou. 1999. 'Nominalization and ellipsis in the Greek DP.' *Linguistic Review* 16: 295-331.

Grimshaw, J. 1991. 'Extended Projections.', Ms., Brandeis University.

Kayne, R. S. 2004. 'Here and There.', in C. Leclère, E. Laporte, M. Piot & M. Silberztein (eds.), *Syntax, Lexis and Lexicon-Grammar. Papers in Honour of Maurice Gross*, John Benjamins, Amsterdam, 253-273. [also in Kayne 2005]

Kayne, R. S. 2005. *Movement and Silence*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Liu, E. 2006. 'P-structures in Chinese.' Ms, New York University.

Manolessou, I. 2000. *Greek Noun Phrase Structure: A study in syntactic evolution*. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Cambridge.

Plann, S. 1985. 'Substantive: A neutralized syntactic category in Spanish.', in I. Bordelois, H. Contreras & K. Zagona (eds.), *Generative Studies in Spanish Syntax*, Foris, Dordrecht, 121-142.

Ramsey, M. 1956. *A Textbook of Modern Spanish*, revised by R.K. Spaulding. Holt, Rinehart & Winston, New York.

van Riemsdijk, H. 1990. 'Functional Prepositions.', in H. Pinkster & I. Genee (eds.), *Unity in Diversity*, Foris, Dordrecht.

van Riemsdijk, H. 1998. 'Categorial Feature Magnetism: The Endocentricity and Distribution of Projections.' *Journal of Comparative Germanic Linguistics* 2: 1-48.

Skopeteas, S. 2002. *Lokale Konstruktionen im Griechischen*, Ph.D. dissertation, University of Erfurt.

Svenonius, P. 2004a. 'Spatial P in English.' To appear in G. Cinque and L. Rizzi (eds.) *The Cartography of PPs*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Svenonius, P. 2004b. 'Adpositions, Particles, and the Arguments they introduce.' Ms., University of Tromsø.

Terzi, A. 2005. 'Locative Prepositions as Possessums.', in M. Mattheoudakis & A. Psaltou-Joycey (eds.), *Selected Papers from the 16th International Symposium on theoretical and applied linguistics*, University of Thessaloniki, 133-144.

Terzi, A. 2006. 'Locative Prepositions and *Place*.' To appear in G. Cinque and L. Rizzi (eds.) *The Cartography of PPs*. Oxford University Press. Oxford.

Theophanopoulou-Kontou, D. 2000. 'Topika epirimata ke 'ptosi' stin Eliniki: Diaxroniki prosegisi.' (Locative Adverbs and Case in Greek: a diachronic Approach.), *Glossologia* 11/12: 1-40.

Tzartzanos, A. 1945. Νεοελληνική Σύνταξις της Κοινής Δημοτικής. [Modern Greek Syntax of Dhemotic]. Kyriakidis, Thessaloniki (1996).

Proceedings of the 26th West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics

edited by Charles B. Chang
and Hannah J. Haynie

Cascadilla Proceedings Project   Somerville, MA   2008

Web access and citation information

This entire proceedings can also be viewed on the web at www.lingref.com. Each paper has a unique document # which can be added to citations to facilitate access. The document # should not replace the full citation.

This paper can be cited as:

Terzi, Arhonto. 2008. Locative Prepositions as Modifiers of an Unpronounced Noun. In *Proceedings of the 26th West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics,* ed. Charles B. Chang and Hannah J. Haynie, 471-479. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Proceedings Project.

or:

Terzi, Arhonto. 2008. Locative Prepositions as Modifiers of an Unpronounced Noun. In *Proceedings of the 26th West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics,* ed. Charles B. Chang and Hannah J. Haynie, 471-479. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Proceedings Project. www.lingref.com, document #1704.